

SEX OFFENDER MANAGEMENT BOARD (SOMB) MINUTES

Friday, November 19, 2021

**THIS MEETING WAS HELD VIA AUDIO/VIDEO CONFERENCING
ONLY**

SOMB Members

Allison Boyd
Carl Blake
Christina Ortiz-Marquez
Glenn Knipscheer
Gregg Kildow
Jeff Shay
Jesse Hansen
Jessica Dotter
Joshua Nowak
Kathy Heffron
Katie Abeyta
Kim Kline
Lisa Mayer
Sharon Holbrook
Taber Powers
Theresa Weiss

SOMB Guests

Addie Damron	Jeff Jordan	Melissa Bossert
Alison Talley	Jessica Bernfeld	Michael Allen
Amira Minazzi	Jessica Bertolas	Michael Garlan
Amy Knight	Jessica Meza	Michelle Neergaard
Amy Thurston	Jessie Glasscock	Michelle Sudano
Andrew Lent	Joe Carter	Missy Gursky
Anthony Tellez	Joe Thome	Monycka Snowbird
Bill Dobbs	Jonathan Mosher	Natalie Kokjer
Brenda Ranck	Jordan Smith	Nathanya Ahamed
Casey Ballinger	Jovita Favila	Pat Harris
Char Barnes	Joy Hart	Patricia Billinger
Chris Valaika	Judie Kunze	Rachel Peper
Chuck Henderson	Kathleen Hambrick	Reyna Gutierrez
Dale Jenkins	Kelsie Smith	Rick Anglund
Dana Montoya	Keri Casanova	Rick Ostring
Danielle Padgett	Kim Smith	Robin Richards
David Nahum	Kimberly Corban Rourke	Roger Kincade
David Skrobarcek	Kris Gibson	Sarah Marlow
Debbie Oldenettel	Lauren Rivas	Sera Bennett
Deena Hahn	Laurie Kepros	Seth Ryan
Delia Garcia	Lindsay Klatt	Shaun Boyd
Derek Logue	Madeline Ranum	Stephen Scippio
Elizabeth Schrack	Marsha Brewer	Sterling Harris
Gabrielle Franklin	Martha Lugo	Sue Ferrere
Gary Reser	Martha McKinney	Tami Floyd
Hannah Dann	Mary Blevins	Thomas Hammond
Hannah Pilla	Matthew Cauwels	Vicki Henry
Howard Black	Matthew Smith	Wendy Bieseimeier
Janelle Feldmann	Meagan Case	Whitney Cline

Absent SOMB Members: Michelle Simmons, Norma Aguilar-Dave, Rick May, and Steve Moreno

Staff: Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky, Marina Borysov, Erin Austin, Raechel Alderete, Yuanting Zhang, and Jill Trowbridge

SOMB Meeting Begins: 9:06 am

This meeting was recorded.

ORIENTATION TO THE MEETING:

Kim Kline (SOMB Chair) introduced herself, and welcomed the SOMB members in attendance along with the members of the public.

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) introduced himself and welcomed all in attendance.

Marina Borysov (SOMB Staff) introduced herself, reviewed the various aspects of this WebEx hybrid meeting, and indicated how the meeting will be conducted. She noted she will be the contact for technical support, and mentioned that she will monitor any questions or comments in the chat and in the question and answer functions.

INTRODUCTIONS/ATTENDANCE:

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) introduced the virtual SOMB members in attendance.

Raechel Alderete (SOMB Staff) introduced the SOMB staff.

Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) introduced the guests attending virtually.

Kim Kline (SOMB Chair) reviewed the ground rules as to how the meeting will run.

FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS:

Board Members:

None

Audience:

None

ANNOUNCEMENTS:

Staff:

Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) announced that new competency packets for clinical supervisors and new providers have been created. She reviewed the specific changes that have been made to the competency packets, and asked all treatment providers to use the most updated competency packets. She also noted that the most recent changes to the Standards is in the Administrative Policies, specifically in the mutual agreements and complaint section and how they are processed.

Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) noted that an on-line payment option for provider application payments will be operational very soon. She thanked Jesse Hansen for his work on this project.

Marina Borysov gave the following updates:

- The annual ODVSOM Conference will be held on July 12 – July 15, 2022 at the Beaver Run Resort in Breckenridge. She noted that the call for papers will go out in December.
- She highlighted the November 5th Team Resilience in the Workplace training.
- She reminded all to watch for the upcoming 2022 Lunch and Learn training, and indicated that these and other training will be posted on the training page of the SOMB website. She mentioned that she will place the link to the training page in the chat box.

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) announced the following:

- He noted that the final lunch and learn will be on 12/9 from 12:00 – 1:30 pm, which will focus on addressing accountability and denial in treatment.
- The Legislative Audit Committee hearing is scheduled for December 6th at 1:30 pm to review the SOMB's implementation of the Legislative Audit recommendations.

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) reviewed the status of the following future agenda items:

- Change in Statute for diversion individuals – He noted that the SOMB Staff and Kathy Heffron (SOMB Member) are working on obtaining more information related to that, and noted this will possibly be assigned to a committee for a future SOMB presentation.
- Handling of evaluations and the evaluation matrix for those convicted of a non-sex crime who have a history of a sex crime – He indicated that the Best Practices Committee will take a look at this and make any necessary recommendations.
- Trauma Informed Care and how this will drive treatment – He noted that the Adult Standards Revisions Committee will review this in the treatment section and update the Standards where needed.
- Finding suitable housing for those who have committed a sexual offense while under supervision – the Executive Committee will gather more information from stakeholders, and a panel will be created discuss this issue.

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) updated the Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion (EDI) work being done with the SOMB. He indicated that the SOMB takes this issue very seriously, and noted they are ensuring that the Standards and Policies are viewed through the lens of EDI. He mentioned the following EDI work that is being done:

- He indicated that the Tele-mental health treatment option enables virtual treatment matching based on individual needs.
- He expressed his appreciation to Allison Boyd (SOMB Member) and the Victim Advocacy Committee regarding the use of labels (use of victim, use of survivor) and the importance of meeting people where they are. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky noted that Dr. Yuanting Zhang presented research on the use of labels to the Victim Advocacy Committee.
- He noted that the Best Practices and Adult Standards Revisions Committees are reviewing the use of current assessment tools to make sure they are validated for all populations. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky indicated that Dr. Alex Rodrigues gave a presentation to the Adult Standards Revisions Committee on how to incorporate the various assessments using an EDI perspective, and noted that these committees continue to look at the research to drive future changes.
- Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky expressed his appreciation for the SOMB Executive Committee who continues to be sensitive to EDI and the various perspectives from all populations.

Susan Walker noted she is attending the meeting via the phone.

Board:

None

Audience:

None

APPROVAL OF October MINUTES (Decision Item) – (Attachment #1)

**Carl Blake (SOMB Member) moved to approve the October Minutes as presented.
Jeff Shay (SOMB Member) 2nd the motion.**

Yuanting Zhang (SOMB Staff) and Kim Kline (SOMB Chair) reminded the audience to not participate in the vote, and asked the SOMB members to click "submit" to ensure their vote is recorded.

Motion to approve the October Minutes as presented: Carl Blake; Jeff Shay (Question #1)

15	Approve	0	Oppose	0	Abstain	Motion Passes
-----------	----------------	----------	---------------	----------	----------------	----------------------

Jeff Shay (via phone) - Yes

APPROVAL OF AGENDA:

The Agenda was then approved by consensus.

RECOGNITION OF AMERICAN INDIAN HERITAGE MONTH (Presentation)– (No Attachment) – Gina Lopez, CCASA, and Monycka Snowbird, Program Director, Haseya Advocate Program

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) introduced the purpose of this presentation in recognition of Native American Heritage Month, and he acknowledged that Colorado is living on the land of the Ute and Northern Arapaho people. He noted that 1 in 3 Native Americans will be sexually assaulted in their lifetime, which is the highest number in any ethnic group. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky noted that the data for sexual assaults is not well kept, and indicated that most assaults are committed by Non-Native Americans. He mentioned that there are also difficulties prosecuting these crimes due to jurisdictional issues (i.e., locally, Native Americans do not have criminal jurisdiction over non-native Americans.) Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky also noted that Native Americans were not included in or had access to the Sex Offender registry until the Adam Walsh act was created in 2006.

A video was shown from Gina Lopez (CCASA) regarding the difficulties faced by indigenous Native American communities. She reviewed the various statutes created to help acknowledge Native American peoples. Gina Lopez then reviewed the past history and some of the stigmas and difficulties which surround indigenous Native Americans who are underserved and largely ignored.

Yuanting Zhang (SOMB Staff) introduced Monycka Snowbird (Presenter) who presented a video that showed the plight of the Haseya Advocate Program. Monycka Snowbird indicated that the Haseya Advocate Program is the only direct service provider in Colorado who works with indigenous Native Americans. She noted that this program has been in existence for four years, and mentioned that the program is comprised of all Native American staff and participants. Monycka Snowbird then noted that she and Gina Lopez are on the Colorado Sexual Violence Prevention Taskforce, and indicated they are working with Senator Jesse Danielson, who is drafting a MIR Proclamation for the State of Colorado.

Some of the highlights of Monycka Snowbird’s presentation are as follows:

- There are 7 million Native Americans nationally
- There are 574 sovereign nations
- 78-80% of Native Americans live in urban areas

- Colorado Springs School District 11 Title VI Program includes members from over 126 tribal nations
- There are 83,000 Native Americans living on the front range of Colorado
- There are 2 reservations in Colorado for the Southern Ute and Ute Mountain Ute Native Americans
- Sexual assault against Native women began in 1492 upon the arrival of Christopher Columbus and colonization
- 4 out of 5 native American Indian and Alaskan Native (AI/AN) women will experience violence (physical and sexual abuse)
- 56.1% of AI/AN women have experienced sexual violence
- 55.5% of AI/AN women have experience physical violence by intimate partners
- 48.8% of AI/AN women have been stalked
- 92-96% of abuse is from non-Native Americans
- Native women also face murder rates more than 10 times the national average in some counties
- AI/AN victims of violence are less likely to receive needed services
- Background of US Policy over the years (The Indian Removal Act of 1830, The Indian Citizenship Act of 1924, The Indian Relocation Act of 1956, Right to Vote in Colorado in 1970, The American Indian Religious Freedom Act of 1978, ICWA in 1978, Boarding School Era 1860 – 1970's, and VAWA 2013)
- Manifest Destiny
- Boarding schools and boarding school trauma
- Historical Trauma in Native Americans and Historical Trauma Impact
- Haseya Advocate Program and Services Provided
- Piath Ket Naa Nath – Indigenous Healing Garden
- Culturally Centered Healing
- Leadership and Civic Engagement Opportunities
- New Men's Program
- Working in Partnership with non-Native relatives
- Culturally appropriate responses for AI/AN survivors of Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault

Monycka Snowbird then provided contact information for her and the Haseya Advocate Program.

Board Discussion:

None

Audience Discussion:

None

BREAK: 10:52 – 11:00

Marina Borysov (SOMB Staff) reviewed the meeting process for those attendees who just joined the meeting.

PERSON-FIRST LANGUAGE RECOMMENDATION (Decision Item) – (Attachment #2) – Taber Powers, Adult Standards Revisions Committee Chair, and Erin Austin, DCJ

Kimberly Kline (SOMB Chair) reviewed the parameters of this item discussion. She indicated that this change in language was previously voted on and it was agreed to change to person-first language. Kimberly Kline noted that the actual wording will be decided at this meeting. She went on to emphasize that this change in language will not change any statutory language, will not change the law enforcement language, and will not change the language used for registration. Kimberly Kline noted that it will specifically change some of the language in the SOMB Adult Standards specifically written to treatment providers, evaluators, and polygraph examiners. She

indicated that all conversation pertaining to language choice will be limited to 2 minutes per person given the large number of attendees, and she requested to not repeat what others have said.

Marina Borysov (SOMB Staff) noted that Joe Thome, DCJ Director, and Debbie Oldenettel, DCJ Deputy Director were present at this meeting. Kimberly Kline clarified that the process will be that there will be Board comments first and then public comments.

Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) outlined the background of this decision item. She mentioned that the Adult Standards Revisions Committee reviewed all available research regarding person-first language and had discussions regarding the suggested change in language. Erin Austin indicated that Section 6 of the Adult Standards (Post-Conviction Polygraph Testing) already refers to sex offenders as "clients", and noted that there has been continued discussion with the Adult Standards Revisions Committee and the SOMB, along with many stakeholder groups, regarding the use of 'Sex Offender' terminology. She reviewed that "general" labeling (not sex offender specific) literature and research indicates that it does inhibit an individual from rehabilitation. Erin Austin noted that the negative impact of labeling language was previously changed in the Guiding Principles. She mentioned that after lengthy review of available research and discussion with the Victims Advocacy Committee and the Adult Standards Revisions Committee it was agreed to the five options presented.

Taber Powers (SOMB Member and Chair of the Adult Standards Revisions Committee) reiterated that there were many in-depth discussions regarding the change to person-first language that included all stakeholder input. He noted that there was not one specific language option that was endorsed by all stakeholders, so the decision will be to determine the best terminology that reduces the use of labeling without minimizing the impact on victims. Taber Powers indicated that this topic is very emotionally charged.

Before stakeholder discussion was started, a Straw Poll was given to indicate if any of the options on the table could be considered as a viable change in language. The suggested terminology options are as follows:

- a. Clients/Adults/Individuals who commit sexual offenses
- b. Clients/Adults/Individuals who engage in sexually abusive behaviors
- c. ~~Clients/Adults/Individuals in treatment for engaging in sexual abusive behaviors~~
- d. Clients/Adults/Individuals who have committed sexual offenses
- e. Client

Poll to select person-first language option A, B, C, D, or E. as presented: Carl Blake; Jeff Shay (Question #2)

15	Approve	0	Oppose	0	Abstain	Motion Passes
-----------	----------------	----------	---------------	----------	----------------	----------------------

The consensus was to "delete" Option C. and to discuss Option A and Option D further (see actual votes on last page.)

Board Discussion:

Jessica Dotter (SOMB Member) moved to adopt the Guiding Principle and not change the language in the Standards to person-first language. Allison Boyd (SOMB Member) 2nd the motion.

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) clarified Jessica Dotter's motion, and noted that the SOMB previously voted to change the language. He noted that Jessica Dotter's motion may not be valid according to Robert's Rules, which indicates that only a member who voted in the affirmative can make a motion to reconsider a vote. Jessica Dotter was not a member of the SOMB when that vote occurred.

Jessica Dotter (SOMB Member) clarified her understanding of the vote on the Guiding Principle. Carl Blake (SOMB Member) responded that the action item to add a guiding principle regarding labeling was to enable the SOMB to move forward with the decision to determine what language to use. He noted that the intent of approving that guiding principle was connected to a future language change in the Standards, and noted this language change request was sent to numerous committees to discuss and create the language. Carl Blake clarified that the idea of changing the terminology in the Standards was brought before the SOMB as an action item at the October 16th SOMB meeting with the understanding that the final choice in language would be determined at this meeting. He noted that the language suggestions presented at this meeting have gone through all the various committees, and indicated that the SOMB has seen these previously. Carl Blake clarified that the vote will be to choose one of these options.

Kimberly Kline (SOMB Chair) indicated that the motion was not valid and denied the motion made by Jessica Dotter (SOMB Member).

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) reiterated that these options were exhaustively discussed at various committees (which are open to public participation), and he recommended that the focus be on a solution that moves away from labeling. He noted that these options are based on labeling research while minimizing negative victim impact, and indicated Option A. "Adults who commit sexual offenses" would be the best choice. Carl Blake indicated that this option strikes a balance that honors victims, and still ensures some accountability of offenders.

***Carl Blake (SOMB Member) moved to adopt Option A. – Adults who commit sexual offenses
Glenn Knipscheer 2nd the motion.***

Carl Blake noted that whatever terminology is approved that it may have to be altered slightly in various sections to adhere to grammatical rules.

Board Discussion:

Jessica Dotter (SOMB Member) noted that prosecutors, District Attorneys (DA's), Victim Advocates (VA's), and law enforcement are not in favor of a language change. She indicated that the change fails to address any victim centeredness. Jessica Dotter went on to note that this change in language may not reduce recidivism and victimization due to lack of sex offense specific research. She expressed concern that this new terminology waters down the accountability of the offenders and noted that this language is not strong enough from the victim perspective.

Allison Boyd (SOMB Member and Victim Representative) noted that the June decision did not allow for a thorough discussion with the Board regarding this change in language.

***Allison Boyd (SOMB Member) moved to Table the person-first language vote and discussion until
robust discussion can be made with the SOMB.
Jessica Dotter (SOMB Member) 2nd the motion.***

Kimberly Kline (SOMB Chair) and Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) reviewed Robert's Rules to verify that a two-thirds vote is required for the motion to pass.

Jessica Dotter (SOMB Member) urged the tabling of this vote due to confusion and the limited time for discussion.

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) urged the SOMB not to table this discussion, and noted that there was previous discussion with the SOMB. He indicated that more discussion will not change the vote, and mentioned it will only “delay” the change that the Board agreed to make.

Gregg Kildow (SOMB Member) asked if this motion will negate the previous motion. Kimberly Kline (SOMB Member) responded no it does not.

Allison Boyd (SOMB Member) expressed her disappointment with Carl Blake’s stance, and urged all SOMB members to reject these options until further discussion and perspectives are heard.

Kimberly Kline (SOMB Chair) read the chat discussion regarding Robert’s Rules of Order.

Kathy Heffron (SOMB Member) indicated that this change was discussed thoroughly with committees, sub-committees, and with the SOMB, and encouraged the Board to vote on this today.

Allison Boyd (SOMB Member) clarified her reason for presenting the motion to table discussion.

Motion to table the discussion of person-first language: Allison Boyd; Jessica Dotter 2nd (Question #3)

5	Approve	10	Oppose	1	Abstain	Motion Fails
----------	----------------	-----------	---------------	----------	----------------	---------------------

Jeff Shay (via phone) - voted yes

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) reminded all of the original motion on the table which was to adopt Option A – Adults who commit sexual offenses.

Jesse Hansen (SOMB Member) asked Carl Blake if this language impacts clients only in the treatment and evaluation sections. Carl Blake (SOMB Member) responded that this change would affect Sections 2, 3, and 5 of the Adult Standards, and sections that have not adopted alternate language previously.

Kathy Heffron (SOMB Member) urged the SOMB to select Option D - Clients who have committed sexual offenses. She suggested updating the definition of the word “client” to include all the unique individuals and circumstances affected by this change. Kathy Heffron expressed concern with the present tense language in Option A., and noted that it undermines what the SOMB is trying to achieve. She noted that the Juvenile Standards use past tense language and urged the change to following that language.

Katie Abeyta (SOMB Member) expressed approval of Option A, which is in the present tense, and noted that healing is lifelong for both the offender and the survivor. She noted that this addresses the offender behavior, and also the ongoing impact to victims. She also indicated the need to keep the word “offenses” plural.

Taber Powers (SOMB Member) reminded all that the Adult Standards Revisions Committee indicated that there is no perfect language that will encompass every point of view, and he encouraged all to look at the whole picture.

Kimberly Kline (SOMB Chair) noted the difficulty treatment providers face when working with clients and continuing to stay victim-centered. She noted that treatment providers are already using person-first language in general, and indicated that the motion on the table is the least minimizing for victims, while still holding the offenders accountable.

Christina Ortiz-Marquez (SOMB Member) indicated that the term “client” is the most appropriate terminology from the provider perspective.

Theresa Weiss (SOMB Member) expressed preference of the language in Option D, but indicated she will approve Option A if need be.

Katie Abeyta (SOMB Member) expressed her concern with the use of the term “client,” and noted it is important for survivors to understand the offender terms and conditions.

Motion to approve option A (1.) – Adults who commit sexual offenses: Carl Blake; Glenn Knipscheer (Question #4)

10	Approve	6	Oppose	0	Abstain	Motion Passes
-----------	----------------	----------	---------------	----------	----------------	----------------------

Jeff Shay – (via phone) – voted No

Audience Discussion:

Listed below are highlights of the public comments:

- Chuck Henderson (WAR Family Foundation) preferred Option B or D, and indicated that the majority of offenders on the registry have only one conviction.
- Kathleen Hambrick (CAGE) noted there are some who have no victims, and indicated that Option A does not separate the offender from the offense. She supported Option D.
- Sterling Harris (COVA) read her statement, which indicated that all the language options minimize the impact to victims, and noted that COVA does not support the use of the term client. She noted that COVA is concerned with the integrity of the SOMB process, and feel this change in terminology was not properly announced to the public. Sterling Harris indicated that Option A is the only choice that is viable.
- Derek Logue (Oncefallen.com) expressed favor for the use of the term “Client,” and preferred to use past-tense language, as many have rehabilitated and do not continue to offend.
- Laurie Kepros (Public Defender) suggested using the term “Client” with a more expansive definition. She went on to clarify her reason for this, in that some of the people under the purview of the Standards have not been convicted of a sexual offense, or had a conviction of an offense in the past, and are now convicted of a non-sexual crime.
- Marsha Brewer (Advocates for Change) supported Option D which is in the past tense. She indicated that the present tense is referring to the future, and no one can predict the future. Marsha Brewer indicated that this population has one of the lowest recidivism rates, and noted that labeling affects the entire family.
- Michelle Sudano (Boulder Deputy DA) noted the need to keep the term Sex Offender as all the proposed options are not victim-centered and minimize the safety of victims.
- Jessica Bernfeld (Defense Attorney) expressed support for the term “Client” due to the American Medical Association’s (AMA) and the Center for Disease Control’s (CDC) support of person-first language, and indicated that labeling can inhibit health care.

- Jonathan Mosher (Grand Junction DA) indicated that the term Sex Offender is not unduly offensive, and noted that the new language is too wordy. He noted that if a change is made, that he supports Options A or D.
- Lindsay Klatt (Blue Bench Victim Advocate) stated that Option A only applies to those who are in treatment. She clarified that this language change is not for those on the Sex Offender Registry or those in the judicial system. Lindsay Klatt mentioned that the ability of a victim to heal is a reaction to the public. These options do not address offender accountability.
- Kimberly Corban Rourke (Victim) noted that from a victim perspective, she is not in favor of changing the language, but will support Option A if a change is made.
- Susan Walker (NARSOL) expressed support of option D as there are those who are really trying to move on with their lives and whose offenses are in the past.
- Carl Blake (SOMB Member) presented a Call to Question on the current motion and urged the SOMB to vote on this matter. He clarified that Juveniles who commit sexual offenses is the language used in the Juvenile Standards. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) asked Carl Blake if he can wait a little longer in order to finish with the public comments. Carl Blake then rescinded the call to question.
- Char Barnes (Victim) indicated that it is devastating for victims to change the terminology, but noted that if a change is made, then Option A would be the least offensive to victims.
- Amy Thurston (Deputy DA) expressed support of Option A. She noted that there are rippling effects outside of the SOMB of the changes made to the Standards, and mentioned the need to consider that when making your decision. She urged the SOMB to select terminology that will still hold offenders accountable.
- Michael Allen (El Paso DA) expressed concern about the process the SOMB used regarding the research and voting on an issue that has not been supported by public comment. He indicated that there are no studies that address Sex Offender labeling specifically.

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) noted that a form has been put on the SOMB website for additional public comment.

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) noted that there will be a 20-day period for additional public comment. He indicated that there will be a final ratification based on the public comment received.

LUNCH: 12:46 – 1:00

SEX OFFENDER SURCHARGE SPENDING ALLOCATION SUPPLEMENTAL RECOMMENDATION (Decision Item) – (Attachment #3) – Lisa Mayer, SOMB Member, and Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky, DCJ

Lisa Mayer (SOMB Member) noted that the Sex Offender Surcharge Committee met on November 19th to create a recommendation to use an additional \$200,000 of the Sex Offender Surcharge spending allocation fund for the development of the online provider application and complaint system, to be used in conjunction with the provider management system.

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Member) noted that this recommendation is allowable for the 21-22 Fiscal Year, and indicated that this proposal will be presented to the Joint Budget Committee (JBC) for review and approval.

***Lisa Mayer moved to approve the surcharge spending allocation recommendation to the JBC
Gregg Kildow 2nd the motion***

Board Discussion:

None

Audience Discussion:

None

Motion to approve the supplemental Sex Offender Surcharge Fund spending recommendation to the JBC: Lisa Mayer; Gregg Kildow (Question #5)

14	Approve	0	Oppose	1	Abstain	Motion Passes
----	---------	---	--------	---	---------	---------------

Jeff Shay (via phone) – Yes

Glenn Knipscheer (verbally) – Yes

**YEAR TWO SOMB DATA COLLECTION PROJECT OUTCOMES (Action Item) – (Attachment #4) –
Yuanting Zhang, DCJ**

Yuanting Zhang (SOMB Staff) noted that the purpose of this presentation is to review last year’s provider data collection analysis, and to seek guidance on refining the research questions for the next year.

Some of the highlights from Yuanting Zhang’s presentation of last year’s data included the following:

- Overall Analysis Goals
- Total Entries
- Clients by Courts – Adult Court/Juvenile Court
- Evaluation Risk Level by Court
- Overall Risk Level at the Beginning & End Treatment
- Overall Risk Level by Court (Adult & Juvenile)
- Level of Denial at Beginning & End of Treatment – Polygraph
- Discharge Outcomes
- Polygraph Exam Results by Court Type (Adult & Juvenile)
- Polygraph Exam Results by Exam Type
- What we have learned last year
- Database Changes that are under way
 - Tx Providers: soliciting input on revising discharge outcome questions from all treatment providers
 - Evaluators: adding SONICS as an option
 - Polygraphers: the hope is to simplify and streamline questions to reduce their burden

Yuanting Zhang (SOMB Staff) then asked the following questions of the SOMB:

- What do you want to know (for this year)?
 - Compare data from last year?
 - Include associational statistics?

Yuanting Zhang (SOMB Staff) indicated that she will download all the data by 11/30/21 for this year’s annual report.

Yuanting Zhang asked the SOMB for their suggestions as to what data they would like to see. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) indicated that they can respond via email. Yuanting Zhang indicated that the dealing for receiving question suggestions will be by early January 2022.

Board Discussion:

Kathy Heffron (SOMB Member) asked Yuanting Zhang for collection of deeper data regarding discharge from treatment.

Allison Boyd (SOMB Member) concurred with Kathy Heffron, and asked Yuanting Zhang to send the PowerPoint presentation.

Gregg Kildow (SOMB Member) asked to know how many offenders entered treatment and how many were successfully discharged by agency. He then asked if there is data that indicates how many offenders are self-pay and the number that access the Sex Offender treatment fund. Yuanting Zhang asked Gregg Kildow for some clarification of the specific data that he was requesting. She also indicated that there is not specific data by agency, nor does the system include data on how an offender pays for treatment.

Audience Discussion:

Sue Ferrere (Audience Member) asked what the population is for this data. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) responded that the population is from Probation, Parole, and discharges from corrections.

Laurie Kepros (Audience Member) asked if there is data that assess how long offenders are in treatment prior to each type of discharge. Yuanting Zhang responded that she can give Laurie Kepros that information.

Marsha Brewer (Audience Member) asked if there is data indicating the reason for unsuccessful termination, and if this data is available by county. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) responded that the SOMB staff will look into this, and indicated that Gregg Kildow previously asked for this by Judicial District.

Kimberly Kline (SOMB Chair) asked what Kathy Heffron what specifics she is looking for regarding discharge data. Kathy Heffron (SOMB Member) responded the need to see if there are patterns to include reason for discharge, rules for discharge, and the type of discharge.

Allison Boyd (SOMB Member) noted that in the past Judicial (Probation) gave some statistics on unsuccessful discharges, and she noted that these were typically high-risk behaviors. She asked if data can be pulled from Parole for this information too. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) responded that there are some changes in the database to help capture these statistics. He also indicated that when Angel Weant's position has been replaced, the SOMB will reach out to Judicial to see if they can help with these specifics.

Kimberly Kline (SOMB Chair) thanked all for their hard work at this meeting.

Meeting Adjourned: 1:32 pm

Respectfully,



12/20/2021

Jill Trowbridge
Program Assistant

Date

Kimberly Kline
Chair of the SOMB

Date

DRAFT

Event Name	Event Start Date	Event Start Time	FirstName	LastName	Join Time	Leave Time	Table the discussion on first-person language(11:50 am / 11:52 am)	Support adopting Option 1: Adults who Committed sexual offenses(12:43 pm / 12:44 pm)	Approve the supplemental sex offender surcharge fund spending allocation request?(1:05 pm / 1:06 pm)
SOMB Nove	November	9:00 am	D Allison	Boyd	8:57 am	D 1:33 pm	Yes	Yes	Yes
SOMB Nove	November	9:00 am	D Carl	Blake	9:07 am	D 12:46 pm	No	Yes	N/A
SOMB Nove	November	9:00 am	D Christina	Marquez	8:57 am	D 12:48 pm	No	No	Yes
SOMB Nove	November	9:00 am	D Glenn	Knipscheer	9:01 am	D 1:33 pm	Abstain	Yes	Yes - Verbally
SOMB Nove	November	9:00 am	D Gregg	Kildow	9:50 am	D 1:33 pm	Yes	No	Yes
SOMB Nove	November	9:00 am	D Jeff	Shay	8:57 am	D 1:33 pm	Yes - Via Phone	No - Via Phone	Yes - Via Phone
SOMB Nove	November	9:00 am	D Jesse	Hansen	8:50 am	D 1:33 pm	No	Yes	Abstain
SOMB Nove	November	9:00 am	D Jessica	Dotter	9:00 am	D 1:33 pm	Yes	No	Yes
SOMB Nove	November	9:00 am	D Joshua	Nowak	9:04 am	D 1:33 pm	No	No	Yes
SOMB Nove	November	9:00 am	D Kathryn	Heffron	9:26 am	D 10:53 am	No	No	Yes
SOMB Nove	November	9:00 am	D Katie	Abeyta	8:59 am	D 1:33 pm	No	Yes	Yes
SOMB Nove	November	9:00 am	D Kimberly	Kline	8:50 am	D 1:34 pm	No	Yes	Yes
SOMB Nove	November	9:00 am	D Lisa	Mayer	8:49 am	D 1:33 pm	Yes	Yes	Yes
SOMB Nove	November	9:00 am	D Sharon	Holbrook	8:44 am	D 12:00 pm	No	Yes	Yes
SOMB Nove	November	9:00 am	D Taber	Powers	8:58 am	D 1:33 pm	No	Yes	Yes
SOMB Nove	November	9:00 am	D THERESA	WEISS	8:56 am	D 1:33 pm	No	Yes	Yes
Sharon Holbrook left the meeting at 12:00									
Sharon Holbrook re-joined the meeting at 12:31									